
**Image technology colour
management — Evaluating colour
transform accuracy in ICC profiles**

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 23564:2020



STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 23564:2020



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2020

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms	1
3.1 Terms and definitions	1
3.2 Abbreviated terms	2
4 Profile evaluation tests	2
4.1 General	2
4.2 Round trip tests	2
4.3 Device model tests	3
5 Round trip accuracy	3
5.1 General	3
5.2 Test image	3
5.3 Round trip test	3
6 Accuracy of forward/inverse model	4
Annex A (informative) Applicability of the forward model metric	5
Bibliography	6

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 130, *Graphic technology*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

International Color Consortium (ICC) input and output profiles contain transforms between device data encodings and the ICC Profile Connection Space (PCS). These transforms should provide either an accurate colour match or a pleasing rendering, depending on the chosen rendering intent.

The following guidelines are provided to assist in the evaluation of the colorimetric rendering intent transforms in ICC v4 profiles. Any tolerances provided in this document are for guidance only and may not be suitable for all applications.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 23564:2020

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 23564:2020

Image technology colour management — Evaluating colour transform accuracy in ICC profiles

1 Scope

This document describes procedures for evaluating the accuracy of colorimetric rendering intents in ICC profiles.

It applies to v4 ICC profiles made according to ISO 15076-1.

It does not apply to subjective tests of ICC profiles, such as for perceptual or saturation rendering intents, and it does not apply to high dynamic range colour media or spaces.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 15076-1, *Image technology colour management — Architecture, profile format and data structure — Part 1: Based on ICC.1:2010*

3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purpose of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1.1

AToB1Tag

structure in an ICC profile that encodes the forward model in media-relative colorimetry

3.1.2

BToA1Tag

structure in an ICC profile that encodes the inverse model in media-relative colorimetry

3.1.3

chromaticAdaptationTag

invertible matrix which converts a CIE XYZ colour, measured using the actual illumination conditions and relative to the actual adopted white, to a CIE XYZ colour relative to the PCS adopted white with complete adaptation

3.1.4

colour lookup table

array of values corresponding to the output of a transform applied to a grid of values which span the input data encoding

3.1.5

colour management module

computational resource that applies ICC profiles to data encodings

3.1.6

forward model

mathematical model that predicts CIE colorimetry from device encoding values

3.1.7

inverse model

mathematical model that predicts device encoding values from CIE colorimetry

3.1.8

profile connection space

PCS

colour space used to connect source and destination ICC profiles

Note 1 to entry: The full definition of the PCS is given in ISO 15076-1:2010, Annex D.

3.1.9

PCSLAB

CIELAB values calculated from CIE XYZ values that have been uniformly scaled so that $X = 0,964\ 2$, $Y = 1,0$, $Z = 0,824\ 9$ for the media white, and encoded as 8-bit or 16-bit integers or as floating point numbers

Note 1 to entry: The full definition of PCSLAB is given in ISO 15076-1.

3.1.10

rendering intent

style of mapping colour values from one image description to another

3.2 Abbreviated terms

CIE	Commission Internationale de l'éclairage (International Commission on Illumination)
CLUT	Colour lookup table (multi-dimensional)
CMM	Colour management module
ICC	International Color Consortium
PCS	Profile connection space

4 Profile evaluation tests

4.1 General

Two types of profile evaluation tests may be performed on the media-relative colorimetric rendering intent (the BToA1Tag and the AToB1Tag) of an ICC profile. These tests apply the transform contained in the profile's BToA1Tag and/or AToB1Tag and compare the results with an appropriate reference.

4.2 Round trip tests

Round trip tests determine the accuracy with which a given rendering intent within a profile is inverted, such that when a PCS value is converted to the device encoding and back to the PCS the difference is minimized. When performing a round trip test, it is essential that the final test is performed on coordinates within the gamut of the encoding, and an initial transform from the device data encoding may be required to achieve this.

4.3 Device model tests

Device model tests of a profile determine the accuracy of the forward model with which the profile predicts the colorimetry of a given device encoding value, or the accuracy with which the profile predicts the device encoding value that will produce a given colorimetry.

See [Annex A](#) for additional information on forward model accuracy.

5 Round trip accuracy

5.1 General

A round trip test of a profile is performed by converting a set of PCS values to the device encoding, using the profile's BToA1Tag, and then back to PCS values using the profile's AToB1Tag.

5.2 Test image

The set of PCS values for the round trip test shall be obtained as follows. A test image such as that defined in ISO 12642-2 is used to provide a sample of the device encoding. This image is converted to PCSLAB using the AToB1Tag of the profile, back to device space using the BToA1Tag, and finally back to PCSLAB using the AToB1Tag. This procedure ensures that only in-gamut colours are used in the round trip accuracy test.

Where possible, a test image should contain different values from those used to derive the AToB1Tag tables and from those used as the input table in the BToA1Tag transform.

NOTE The differences in PCSLAB values between the first and second set of PCSLAB values obtained in this way are often referred to the "first round trip" accuracy.

In addition to the test chart defined in ISO 12642, particular applications may require additional test colours representative of the sub-set of the colour gamut of interest to that application. Examples of such sub-sets include additional neutrals and near-neutrals to better evaluate the grey balance of a transform; and colours corresponding to skin colours for images where such colours predominate. In such cases, a user- or vendor-dependent test set may be added. The evaluation report shall state how many test colours and which test image was used in the evaluation.

5.3 Round trip test

The final PCSLAB values obtained in [5.1](#) are used as the initial values in the round trip test. They are converted to device space using the BToA1Tag, and then back to PCSLAB using the AToB1Tag.

The differences between the initial PCSLAB values and the PCSLAB values after the round trip shall be expressed as median, maximum and 95th percentile values in ΔE_{00} , where the PCSLAB values are scaled to ICC-Absolute colorimetry according to ISO 15076-1. Round-trip colour differences in ΔE_{00} typically are < 1 median and < 2 maximum.

Preview tags are not used for testing round-tripping errors.

NOTE 1 Round trip results are likely to be affected by the device characteristics and the colour management module (CMM) used, as well as the profile. In a comprehensive test, a profile that is to be used in open systems is evaluated using several CMMs, preferably those that will be used in practice.

NOTE 2 The round trip error does not predict the accuracy of the forward or inverse model, but is simply a measure of the inversion accuracy of the profile. It can be used to estimate the inverse model error as described in [Clause 6](#).

NOTE 3 The utility of the round trip error is directly related to the accuracy of the forward model fit. The round trip error is less meaningful if the forward model is poor, for example if there is noise in the training data used to generate it.

6 Accuracy of forward/inverse model

Forward and inverse models should be evaluated in terms of the colorimetry that was used in making the profile. If the illuminant used to compute tristimulus values was D50, ICC-absolute colorimetry should be used as described above. If a different illuminant was used, either the measurements need to be chromatically adapted to D50 to express the accuracy in PCS terms using the chromaticAdaptationTag in the profile, or the PCS values need to be chromatically adapted to the measurement colorimetry using the inverse of the chad tag in order to express the accuracy in terms of the original measurement. The illuminant used for computation of colorimetric values should be stated if not D50.

The forward model in an ICC profile is encoded as the AToB1Tag. To evaluate its accuracy, a sample of values in the device encoding is converted to PCSLAB using the AToB1Tag, and compared with measurements of those device encoding values when reproduced on the device. When evaluating the accuracy of the AToB1Tag, the ICC-Absolute Colorimetric rendering intent should be used to undo the media-relative scaling used when making the profile.

The differences between the measured values and the CIELAB values after conversion from the device encoding should be expressed as median, maximum and 95th percentile values in CIEDE2000, where the PCSLAB values are scaled to ICC-Absolute colorimetry according to ISO 15076-1:2010, 6.3.2.2. Ideally, the device encoding values and corresponding measurements used to test the forward model will be different from those used to create the model encoded in the AToB1Tag. If the test data are the same as the training data, this should be reported. The number of colours included in the evaluation should also be reported.

It is assumed that the round trip error and the AToB1Tag error described above are uncorrelated, and therefore the inverse model error is represented by the combined uncertainty of the forward model and the round trip^[2], expressed as the RMS of the mean values of the two errors.

Annex A

(informative)

Applicability of the forward model metric

A.1 General

The forward model accuracy, in terms of the fit to training data, can be misinterpreted. Even when averaging of some sort, either spatial or temporal or both, is used to generate colorimetry, it is not necessarily the case that obtaining a 0, through-point fit of the training colorimetry is an appropriate goal of ICC profile creation systems.

Two fundamental ways in which such an accuracy metric can be misinterpreted are as follows.

A.2 Fit to training data

If the only data available at the time of forward model creation is the training data used to make the model, it is not possible to evaluate the model accuracy to non-training points. Through-point model solutions can thus suffer from oscillations in the non-training data areas of the device colourant space.

A.3 Reproducibility of the output device

Over a long period of time, the printing device being sampled may fluctuate in a way which is not reflected in the necessarily short time span used to generate training colorimetry. A stiffer model, which does not pass through all the training data points, may be warranted in such cases.